	Case 1:23-cv-00617-JLT-CDB Documen	nt 9 Filed 08/14/25 Page 1 of 2					
1							
2							
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
10							
11	OMAR MENDEZ-GARCIA,	Case No. 1:23-cv-0617 JLT CDB (HC)					
12	Petitioner,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS, DISMISSING THE					
13	V.	PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS AS MOOT, AND DIRECTING THE					
14	B. M. TRATE,	CLERK OF COURT TO CLOSE THIS CASE					
15	Respondent.	(Doc. 8)					
16							
17	Omar Mendez-Garcia proceeded with a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §						
18	2241, asserting that the U.S. Bureau of Prisons improperly denied him earned time credits under						
19	the First Step Act. (Doc. 1.) The magistrate ju	dge performed a preliminary review and ordered					
20	Petitioner to show cause why the petition should not be dismissed, because the BOP's publicly						
21	accessible records reflect Petitioner was released from custody. (Doc. 6.) The U.S. Postal Service						
22	returned the Court's mail as "Undeliverable, No Longer at Facility."						
23	The magistrate judge found that "[b]ecause Petitioner has been released from custody, the						
24	Court is unable to grant him the relief he seeks specifically, the award of ETCs that would						
25	permit Petitioner to seek early release." (Doc. 8 at 3.) Therefore, the magistrate judge found the						
26	petition is moot and recommended the Court dismiss the petition. (Id., citing Munoz v. Rowland,						
27	104 F.3d 1096, 1098 (9th Cir. 1997).) The Court served the Findings and Recommendations on						
28	Petitioner and notified him that any objections were due within 14 days. (<i>Id.</i>) The Court advised						
		1					
		l					

	Case 1:23-cv	v-00617-JLT-CDB	Document 9	Filed 08/14/25	Page 2 of 2	
1	Petitioner that "failure [] to file any objections within the specified time may result in the waiver					
2	of certain rights on appeal." Id. at 3 (citing Wilkerson v. Wheeler, 772 F.3d 834, 839 (9th Cir.					
3	2014)). Petitioner did not file objections, and the time to do so has passed. ¹					
4	According to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), this Court performed a <i>de novo</i> review of the case.					
5	Having carefully reviewed the matter, the Court concludes the Findings and Recommendations					
6	are supported by the record and proper analysis. Thus, the Court ORDERS :					
7	1.	1. The Findings and Recommendations issued on July 25, 2025 (Doc. 8) are				
8		ADOPTED in full.				
9	2.	The petition for wri	t of habeas corpu	us (Doc. 1) is DISM	IISSED without prejudice	
10		as moot.				
11	3.	The Clerk of Court	is directed to clo	se the case.		
12	IT IS SO OPPEDED					
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.					
14	Dated: _	August 14, 2025		UNITED STA	ALTMW/M TES DISTRICT JUDGE	
15				V		
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						

¹ To date, the U.S. Postal Service has not returned the Findings and Recommendations. Regardless, the Court's service is deemed fully effective pursuant to Local Rule 182(f).